

Q&A for

RFP 4604 – Kerr Dam Spillway Gate Repairs

1. What is the timeline expectation on this project? When do you plan to select the prequalified firm? When do you hope to complete Phase 1 (study and alternative solutions) and Phase 2 (design of selected solution). And when does GRDA hope to have the gate seals and alignment issues fixed?
 - a. GRDA plans to select a prequalified firm as soon as possible. Phase 1 of the engineering selection completion target is first of year 2025. Phase 2 completion target - drawings and specifications out to competitive construction solicitation by mid-summer of the year 2025 and will begin construction before end of the year of 2025.
2. Have the contractors who will be doing the repairs and improvements to the gates, have they already been selected?
 - a. No, it s GRDA's intent that procurements documents for the solicitation of contract for repairs will be a work product of the engineering studies and construction management process. Support for the engineering assessments for studies may be a product of Phase I negotiations as determined during those negotiations.
3. In another recent RFP solicited by GRDA, it inquired about financial statements and we requested that information be made available after selection, and GRDA accepted this request. The reasoning we provided was KGS Group is a privately owned company and requests to not disclose financial information in a proposal format. KGS Group can send the information to GRDA directly but would prefer to provide that information after we have been selected to perform the work at Kerr Dam. GRDA previously accepted this request and revised the requirement to say, in essence, prior to contract execution, audited financial statements for the successful firm must be made available to GRDA.
 - a. This request is acceptable for the intended purposes of initial evaluations of qualifications. Demonstration of financial solvency will be required before further consideration of firms/engineers determined be technically capable of performing the required studies and project development.
4. On Page 2 of the RFP under Section 1.2 Purpose, it states that “Phase 1 - is to prequalify vendors to create a short list of vendors for Phase 2” but lower in the same page under Section 1.4 Current Circumstance/Situation, it talks about the scope that will be included and that scope also talks about Phase 1 and Phase 2. Can we assume that Section 1.2 defines a two-step process? The first step is to prequalify firms and the second step is to ask for proposals to perform the scope defined in Section 1.4? And that the scope in 1.4 consists of two phases? Or is it GRDA's intent for the potential firms to include scope listed under Phase 1 within the first step of the prequalification process?
 - a. Section 1.2 defines the purpose of this solicitation for qualified engineering capability and GRDA's planned process for evaluation of technical capability for the

engineering studies, design, and construction management support that is believed to be potentially necessary for the completion of the more comprehensive project.

- b. Section 1.4 describes the anticipated phasing of the studies and scope of work in totality to better define the needs of the project & GRDA.

Phases 1 and 2 as described in Sections 1.2 and 1.4 are not related.

- 5. We were looking for clarification as to the difference between phase 1 repair item bullet 1 and 6. Does bullet 1 include evaluation of the trunnion friction and by association the broken keeper plates on the pins? Are you thinking this would include trunnion friction testing? -By gate support systems and Fastener Repair in bullet 6 do you mean the trunnion girder anchorage bolts that are loose? It is understood that some of the items are interrelated and may require individual efforts to determine best repair but wanted to team up appropriately on the trunnion friction testing if that is on your radar for bullet 1.

- a. The Section 1.4 Phase 1 description is intended to focus on studies and assessments necessary for the development of repairs. It is anticipated some of the evaluations of conditions could progress to partial or full implementation of some of the repairs.
- b. GRDA anticipates trunnion friction testing in excess of typical motor load observation will be required. The evaluation of the trunnion friction and keeper plate repair are anticipated as likely tasks that can likely be executed during the study phase of the project and could progress to resolution of the trunnion condition. That result, while beneficial is not the intent of the work development.
- c. The sixth bullet of the Section 1.4 Phase 1 is meant to describe other trunnion related issues. It is not anticipated Phase 1 will result in further restoration of trunnion conditions until implementation of the competitive construction solicitation.